UPDATED AND EXPANDED, March, 2008

For the past several years, NCCPR has published a rate-of-removal index comparing the propensity of *states* to adopt a "take-the-child-and-run" approach to child welfare. The index compares the number of children taken from their parents in each state during the most recent year for which data are available to a Census Bureau estimate of the number of children living in poverty in that state. The result is the number of removals of children from their homes for every 1,000 impoverished children in that state.

We have applied the same methodology to two states, California and Ohio, where individual counties run child welfare, and to Florida, where the state is divided into regions which have a great deal of autonomy.

The inspiration for doing a similar analysis in Georgia, even though the Georgia system is, theoretically, state-run, came from the state's former Child Advocate, Dee Simms. When Simms ran the Office of Child Advocate that office was known for embracing a take-the-child-and-run approach to child welfare, and for issuing blistering audits of county child welfare offices. So when we saw OCA lavish praise on one county, Cobb, we figured Cobb County must take children at an unusually high rate. (It does.) That led us to the comparison below.

We say Georgia child welfare is *theoretically* state run, because we found that there actually is a wider variation in the propensity of county child welfare offices to take children in Georgia than in any of the other states we've examined. Among the ranked counties, the county that takes children at the highest rate, takes those children at a rate *16 times* higher than the county that takes children most sparingly.

THE UPDATE

This edition of the *NCCPR Georgia Rate-of-Removal Index* updates the original in several important ways.

- *More recent entry-into-care data*. We now have entry into care data for the year ending September 30, 2007.
- Much more recent data on the impoverished child population in each county. We now have data from a 2005 Census Bureau estimate, instead of relying on the 2000 census.
- Complete safety data. We now have data for every county on the two key outcomes used to measure safety. And these data show, once again, that it is not necessary to take away large numbers of children in order to keep children safe. Just as we found in Ohio, California and Florida, counties in Georgia which took proportionately fewer children often did better on key safety outcomes.

THIS IS NOT THE "SNAPSHOT NUMBER"...

The measure of a county's foster care population usually seen in news accounts is the socalled "snapshot number" indicating the number of children in foster care in a county on one

particular day each year. That is a very important number, but it is a less accurate measure of a county's propensity to remove children.

A county may have a high snapshot number even if it takes away very few children, if it hangs on to those it takes for a very long time. (That is, in itself, a serious problem, but not a measure of the county's propensity to take away children in the first place.) Conversely, a county may have a low snapshot number and still take away many children, but take them for only a very short time. Thus, a county which takes away many children in January, but returns most of them by August, will have a low number if the "snapshot" is taken in September. Also, a county which took away a great many children a decade or more ago and let them languish in foster care may have a low snapshot number now simply because those children are "aging out" of the system at 18 – hardly a testament to a system's success.

...RATHER, THIS INDEX USES REMOVALS OVER THE COURSE OF A YEAR

So instead of measuring the foster care population on any given day, the *NCCPR Georgia Rate-of-Removal Index* relies on Division of Family and Children Services (DFCS) data listing the total number of children removed over the course of a year.

HOW THE INDEX IS COMPILED

We could have simply compared the number of children removed to a county's total child population. But then all the counties with high rates-of-removal and high child poverty rates would complain that this was unfair because we didn't consider the single largest risk factor for actual abuse, not to mention the factor most often confused with "neglect:" poverty. So, in order to factor that out, and come closer to an apples-to-apples comparison, we compare removals to the number of *impoverished* children in each county, according to a 2005 Census Bureau estimate, the most recent such data available.

RANKINGS

NCCPR's national *Rate-of-Removal Index* includes a ranking for each state. We did not try to do this for every Georgia county. That's because many of Georgia's 159 counties are so small that even very small changes in the number of children removed, which could be the result of factors beyond the county's control, could significantly change their rankings. Safety data also can fluctuate greatly based on a small change in raw numbers in these counties.

So the first two charts on the following pages provide data and rakings for all Georgia counties in which at least 2,000 impoverished children resided according to the 2005 Census Bureau estimate. Though that is only 54 of 159 counties, those counties include about 78 percent of the children taken away statewide over the course of a year. We also provide a chart with data for all 159 counties, but without rankings.

THE EXCEPTIONAL CASE OF FAYETTE COUNTY

Using this standard, Fayette County, with an estimated 1,363 impoverished children, is not officially ranked. But Fayette County's propensity for child removal is so extreme that it deserves special notice. While no longer a contender for "child removal capital of America" Fayette County is clearly the child removal capital of Georgia, taking children at a rate nearly four times the state average, and four-and-a-half to seven-and-a-half times the average rates in

states widely regarded as, relatively speaking, models. Indeed, while not the very worst, Fayette County still takes away children at a rate higher than all but a handful of other jurisdictions we have encountered anywhere else in the country.

In order for Fayette to take children at a rate no higher than the Georgia average it would have to take away about 26 children per year. Instead, it takes nearly 100. The other way Fayette would be no worse than average would be if the real number of impoverished children living in the county is nearly four times higher than estimated by the census bureau. So clearly, Fayette's dismal standing is not due to circumstances beyond the control of the county DFCS office

SAFETY DATA

As a group that believes strongly in family preservation, we feel that a high rate-of-removal almost always is a sign of a bad system. But a low rate-of-removal is not necessarily a sign of a good system. A low rate-of-removal can be accomplished either by embracing safe, proven programs to keep families together, or by ignoring children in real danger.

There are two primary means for measuring how a child welfare system does in keeping children safe.

One is to track how often, when maltreatment is substantiated, the child is maltreated again. The other measure is foster-care "recidivism." That is, what percentage of children entering foster care are entering again, after having been discharged from foster care within 12 months.

Although we have provided the data for foster-care recidivism, we believe it cannot be used reliably for the overwhelming majority of individual counties in Georgia because in most cases the raw numbers are so small that a fair comparison is impossible.

Even in the case of reabuse, we recommend using these data with caution because so many counties are so small. In addition, the Georgia data cannot be compared with the measure used in many other states. Most states, and the federal government, measure reabuse by looking at the percentage of substantiated allegations in which there is a second substantiated allegation within six months. The measure available on the Georgia DFCS website is different. It measures the proportion of children who were investigated for maltreatment who were investigated again, regardless of whether either report was substantiated. And it uses a 12-month time period instead of six months. Nevertheless, we believe this is a reasonable measure for a rough, general comparison among the ranked counties within Georgia, and for spotting extreme outliers.

The data clearly show that a take-the-child-and-run approach not only is not necessary to keep children safe, it may place children in more danger by overwhelming workers, leaving them less time to make good decisions. For example, the ranked county with the highest rate of removal, Cherokee, also has one of the worst rates of re-abuse. And the ranked county that takes proportionately the fewest children, Burke, has a safety record as good or better than most other ranked counties.

OTHER CAVEATS

One cannot say, based on these data, that county X "took Y percent of its poor children from their parents." That would be inaccurate because, while the overwhelming majority of children taken from their parents are poor, not all of them are. Thus, we are comparing a pool of children – those removed from their parents – which is mostly poor, to a general population that is entirely poor. One can say only that authorities in Cherokee County appear more prone to resort to foster care than their counterparts in any of the other ranked counties.

THE TABLES

The first two tables on the following pages are the *NCCPR Georgia Rate-of-Removal Index*. They compare rates of child removal for 54 counties for the 12 months ending September 30, 2007. The first table lists the counties alphabetically, the second in the order in which they took away children. The tables also include the rate of foster care recidivism for the same time period, and the rate of reabuse for State Fiscal Year 2007, the most recent period for which these data are available.

That is followed by a table providing the data for every Georgia county, without rankings.

Sources:

- All child welfare data in the charts on the following pages, except reabuse rates are from data supplied by the Georgia Division of Family and Children Services to the Fostering Court Improvement website. To its credit, DFCS is one of only three participating states that make these data readily available to the general public via this website. Georgia data are available here: http://fosteringcourtimprovement.org/ga/County/removals_summary.html
- Reabuse rate: Georgia Division of Family and Children Services, *Child Welfare in Georgia, State Fiscal Year 2007*, available online at: http://dfcs.dhr.georgia.gov/DHR-DFCS/DHR-DFCS-publication/HB14062007_12_13.pdf
- Impoverished children: U.S. Census Bureau, Small Area Income and Poverty Estimates: Estimates for Florida Counties, 2005, available online at: http://www.census.gov/hhes/www/saipe/county.html

Funding for this publication, and NCCPR's other national advocacy activities, comes from the Annie E. Casey Foundation. We thank the Foundation for its support, but acknowledge that the views expressed in this publication are those of NCCPR alone and do not necessarily reflect the opinions of our funders.

RATE-OF-REMOVAL AND SAFETY DATA FOR COUNTIES WITH AT LEAST 2,000 IMPOVERISHED CHILDREN, 12 MONTHS ENDING SEPTEMBER 30, 2007

	Year ending	2005			% of children investigated	% of children returned to foster care w/in 12 months, year ending Sept. 30, 2007
Baldwin	18	2,180	8.3	47	4.4	-
Barrow	85	2,303	36.9	4	3.3	5
Bartow	128	4,567	28.0	11	6.3	4
Bibb	107	14,692	7.3	49	5.9	5
Bulloch	24	3,133	7.7	48	2.9	-
Burke	10	2,657	3.8	54	5.1	-
Camden	20	2,104	9.5	45	7.5	15
Carroll	56		11.5	42	5.2	
Catoosa	87	2,439		5		
Chatham	291			25		
Cherokee	235			1	10.1	10
Clarke		4,892	14.5	39		8
Clayton	300		18.9	30		
Cobb	577	19,031	30.3	10		
Coffee	61	3,173	19.2	29		
Colquitt	39			44	4.3	
Columbia	56	· ·		18		
Coweta	118	·		6		11
Crisp	69		26.5	15		16
Decatur	60	·	23.2	21	10.5	
Dekalb	697	37,763		31	4.7	
Dougherty	46		5.4	51	10.3	
Douglas	137	4,115		7	5.1	2
Emanuel	26		12.1	40	2.5	
Floyd	165	·		12	10.7	19
Forsyth	51	2,321	22.0	23		
Fulton	876			35		
Glynn	71					
Gordon	105			3		
Gwinnett	448	·		22		
Hall	60			46		
Henry	107	4,226		16		
Houston	123			28		
Jackson	70					
Laurens	67	3,187	21.0			
Liberty	71	4,786		38		0
Lowndes	109					
Mitchell	32					2
			27.1	14		9
Muscogoo	100					2
Muscogee	42					
Newton						
Paulding	59					

Richmond	269	17,932	15.0	36	5.6	9
Spalding	113	4,140	27.3	12	10.7	12
Sumter	16	3,694	4.3	53	6.0	19
Thomas	14	2,864	4.9	52	6.8	=
Tift	84	3,515	23.9	19	8.0	21
Toombs	65	2,767	23.5	20	9.4	15
Troup	62	3,766	16.5	34	4.3	5
Walker	72	2,990	24.1	17	7.4	6
Walton	64	3,175	20.2	24	7.2	=
Ware	29	2,587	11.2	41	10.0	7
Whitfield	132	4,227	31.2	9	10.2	5
Larger County total	6,989	368,873	18.9			
Statewide total	8,916	470,425	19.0			

RATE-OF-REMOVAL AND SAFETY DATA FOR COUNTIES WITH AT LEAST 2,000 IMPOVERISHED CHILDREN, BY RANK, 12 MONTHS ENDING SEPTEMBER 30, 2007

County	Children Removed from their homes, Year ending Sept. 30, 2007	Impoverished Children, 2005	Rate-of- removal	Rank	% of children investigated for second allegation of maltreatment, SFY 2007	% of children returned to foster care w/in 12 months, year ending Sept. 30, 2007
Cherokee	235	3,870	60.7	1	10.1	10
Polk	137	2,447	56.0			
Gordon	105	2,325	45.2	3		16
Barrow	85	2,303	36.9	4	3.3	5
Catoosa	87	2,439	35.7	5	9.0	
Coweta	118	3,538	33.4		5.1	11
Douglas	137	4,115	33.3	7	5.1	2
Jackson	70	2,222	31.5	8	7.4	14
Whitfield	132	4,227	31.2	9	10.2	5
Cobb	577	19,031	30.3	10	3.9	11
Bartow	128	4,567	28.0	11	6.3	4
Floyd	165	6,045	27.3	12	10.7	19
Spalding	113	4,140	27.3	12	10.7	12
Murray	58	2,137	27.1	14	8.2	2
Crisp	69	2,601	26.5	15	8.1	16
Henry	107	4,226	25.3	16	4.3	9
Walker	72	2,990	24.1	17	7.4	6
Columbia	56	2,330	24.0	18	3.5	4
Tift	84	3,515	23.9	19	8.0	21
Toombs	65	2,767	23.5	20	9.4	15
Decatur	60	2,584	23.2	21	10.5	7
Gwinnett	448	19,801	22.6	22	4.6	11
Forsyth	51	2,321	22.0	23	9.3	4
Walton	64	3,175	20.2	24	7.2	0
Chatham	291	13,323	21.8	25	5.5	6
Laurens	67	3,187	21.0	26	9.4	6
Paulding	59	2,897	20.4	27	7.1	10
Houston	123	6,062	20.3	28	3.6	2
Coffee	61	3,173	19.2	29	6.9	2
Clayton	300	15,914	18.9	30	3.5	6
Dekalb	697	37,763	18.5	31	4.7	9
Lowndes	109	5,903	18.5	31	5.6	2
Glynn	71	4,179	17.0	33	5.8	3
Troup	62	3,766	16.5	34	4.3	5
Fulton	876	55,035	15.9	35	6.6	
Mitchell	32	2,140	15.0	36	12.4	9
Richmond	269	17,932	15.0	36	5.6	9

Liberty	71	4,786	14.8	38	7.6	8
Clarke	71	4,892	14.5	39	5.1	8
Emanuel	26	2,154	12.1	40	2.5	19
Ware	29	2,587	11.2	41	10.0	7
Carroll	56	4,861	11.5	42	5.2	9
Newton	42	4,017	10.5	43	6.6	10
Colquitt	39	3,828	10.2	44	4.3	5
Camden	20	2,104	9.5	45	7.5	15
Hall	60	6,752	8.9	46	10.0	8
Baldwin	18	2,180	8.3	47	4.4	0
Bulloch	24	3,133	7.7	48	2.9	0
Bibb	107	14,692	7.3	49	5.9	5
Muscogee	100	14,223	7.0	50	4.6	3
Dougherty	46	8,459	5.4	51	10.3	2
Thomas	14	2,864	4.9	52	6.8	0
Sumter	16	3,694	4.3	53	6.0	19
Burke	10	2,657	3.8	54	5.1	0
Larger County total	6,989	368873	18.9			
Statewide total	8,916	470,425	19.0			

RATES OF REMOVAL AND SAFETY DATA, ALL COUNTIES, YEAR ENDING SEPTEMBER 30, 2007

County	Children Removed from their homes, Year ending Sept. 30, 2007	Impoverished Children, 2005	Rate-of-removal	% of children investigated for second allegation of maltreatment, SFY 2007	% of children returned to foster care w/in 12 months, year ending Sept. 30, 2007
Appling	14	1,329	10.5	4.5	0
Atkinson	8	858	9.3	8.0	0
Bacon	23	782	29.4	7.1	0
Baker	5		13.1	13.0	0
Baldwin	18	2,180	8.3	4.4	0
Banks	7	766	9.1	6.4	0
Barrow	85	2,303	36.9	3.3	5
Bartow	128	4,567	28.0	6.3	4
Ben Hill	20		12.2		25
Berrien	44	1,195	36.8	11.6	
Bibb	107	14,692	7.3	5.9	5
Bleckley	0	694	-	5.9	0
Brantley	23	959	24.0	8.9	0
Brooks	13	1,454	8.9	1.6	8
Bryan	9	1,073			0
Bulloch	24	3,133	7.7	2.9	0
Burke	10	2,657	3.8	5.1	0
Butts	50	959	52.1	13.7	10
Calhoun	0	486	-	2.0	0
Camden	20	2,104	9.5	7.5	
Candler	12	936	12.8	2.1	42
Carroll	56	4,861	11.5	5.2	9
Catoosa	87	2,439	35.7	9.0	17
Charlton	31	797	38.9	17.7	13
Chatham	291	13,323	21.8	5.5	6
Chattahoochee	5				0
Chattooga	52	1,359	38.3	5.3	29
Cherokee	235	3,870	60.7	10.1	10
Clarke	71	4,892	14.5	5.1	8
Clay	0			0.0	0
Clayton	300	15,914			
Clinch	2				0
Cobb	577	19,031	30.3	3.9	11
Coffee	61	3,173			2
Colquitt	39	3,828	10.2	4.3	5

Cook 10 1.257 8.0 6.9 0.0 Cowlord 118 3.538 3.34 5.1 11 Crawford 27 711 38.0 10.1 4.4 Crayford 27 711 38.0 10.1 4.4 Dade 12 569 2.61 2.65 8.1 18 Dade 12 569 21.1 5.2 Dawson 10 609 14.3 1.8 3.3 Decatur 60 2.584 23.2 10.5 Decatur 60 2.584 23.2 10.5 Decatur 60 2.584 23.2 10.5 Decatur 70 8.52 11.7 1.3 Decatur 71 1.4 1.5 Decatur 72 1.4 1.4 Decatur 73 74 115 3.3 Decatur 74 115 3.3 5.1 Decatur 74 115 3.3 5.1 Decatur 75 75 75 Decatur 75 7	0.1		0.000	04.0	0.5	1
Cowels 118 3,538 33,4 5,1 11 Crewford 27 711 38,0 10,1 4 Cresp 69 2,601 26,5 8,1 11 Dade 12 569 21,1 5.2 16 Dawson 10 699 14,3 18 33 Decatur 60 2,584 22,2 10,5 33 Dody 10 882 17,7 13 C Dody 10 882 11,7 13 C Dougherty 46 8,49 5.4 10,3 C Eoris 137 4,115 33,3 5,1 E Early 12 1,341 8.7 5,5 5 Echois 8 3935 20,3 3,4 C Elmore 46 1,668 28.8 2.6 E Elmore 4 1,668 28.8 2.6 E	Columbia	56		24.0		4
Cawford 27 711 38.0 10.1 4.6 Crisp 69 2.600 25.5 8.1 11 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10						
Crisp 69 2,601 2.5. 9.1 1.5. Dewson 10 699 21.1 5.2 1.8 3.3 Devatur 60 2,584 23.2 11.5 7.7 1.8 3.3 Dekalb 697 37,763 18.5 4.7 5 5 6.0 1.0 5 22 11.4 1.9 6.3 1.7 5 5 6.0 3.3 1.7 5 5 6.0 3.3 1.7 1.9 1.9 1.0 1.0 8.2 1.1 1.3 1.9 1.0 </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>						
Dade						4
Dewson						
Decaltur						0
Dekalb 697 37,763 18.5 4.7 9.9 Dodge 21 1,413 14.9 6.3 11 Dowy 10 8.92 11.7 1.3 1.1 Douglary 46 8.459 5.4 10.3 2 Douglas 137 4,115 33.3 6.1 3.2 Early 12 1,381 8.7 5.5 6.6 Eichis 8 395 20.3 3.4 6.6 Eifingham 48 1,668 28.8 2.6 0.6 Elbert 4 1,257 3.2 8.4 0.6 Emanuel 26 2,154 12.1 2.5 11 Evans 14 994 14.1 11.5 1.6 Fayette 98 1,363 71.9 5.1 2.2 1.0 Floyd 165 6,045 27.3 10.7 11 7.6 1.0 7.1 1.5						
Dodge 21						7
Dooly	Dekalb					9
Doughetry 46 8,499 5.4 10.3 2 Early 137 4,115 33.3 5.1 2 Early 12 1,381 8.7 5.5 6 Echols 8 395 20.3 3.4 6 Effingham 48 1,688 28.6 2.6 6 Elbert 4 1,297 3.2 8.4 6 Emanuel 26 2,154 12.1 2.5 15 Evans 14 994 14.1 11.5 6 Evans 14 994 14.1 11.5 6 Farmin 48 970 49.5 2.8 11 Feyete 98 1,303 71.9 5.1 2.2 Flyton 165 6,045 27.3 10.7 11 Forsyth 51 2,321 22.0 9.3 44 Fluth 51 2,321 22.0 9.3	Dodge	21	1,413			10
Echols	Dooly	10	852	11.7	1.3	0
Echols	Dougherty	46	8,459	5.4	10.3	2
Echols	Douglas	137	4,115	33.3	5.1	2
Effingham	Early	12	1,381	8.7	5.5	0
Elbert 4 1.257 3.2 8.4 0.5 Emanuel 26 2.154 12.1 2.5 15 Evans 144 994 14.1 11.5 0.5 16 Evans 146 970 49.5 2.8 11 Evans 146 970 98.1 1.303 17.9 5.1 2.2 11.07 11	Echols	8	395	20.3	3.4	0
Elbert 4 1.257 3.2 8.4 0.5 Emanuel 26 2.154 12.1 2.5 15 Evans 144 994 14.1 11.5 0.5 16 Evans 146 970 49.5 2.8 11 Evans 146 970 98.1 1.303 17.9 5.1 2.2 11.07 11	Effingham	48	1,668	28.8	2.6	0
Emanuel 26 2.154 12.1 2.5 11 Evans 14 994 14.1 15.5 (Fannin 46 970 49.5 2.8 16 Fannin 46 970 49.5 2.8 16 Fayette 98 1.303 77.9 5.1 2 Floyd 165 6.045 27.3 10.7 16 Forsyth 51 2.321 22.0 9.3 4 Forsyth 51 2.321 22.0 9.3 4 Fulton 876 55.035 15.9 6.6 6 Glimer 12 1.317 9.1 8.6 5.8 (Glissock 1 1 116 8.6 5.8 (Glynn 71 4.179 17.0 5.8 (Govinn 105 2.325 45.2 4.5 16 Grady 42 1.837 22.6 9.5 (Grady 42 1.837 22.6 9.5 (Gwinnett 448 19.801 22.6 4.6 11 Habersham 21 1.420 14.8 10.0 5 Hall 60 6.752 8.9 10.3 8 Hard 74 1.740 17.0 5.8 10.0 6 Harris 15 788 19.0 5.3 10.3 8 Harris 15 788 19.0 5.3 11 Harris 15 788 19.0 5.3 7 Heard 22 701 31.4 11.0 5.3 17 Heard 22 701 31.4 11.0 5.5 17 Heard 22 701 31.4 11.0 10.5 11.0 11.0 11.0 11.0 11.0 11.0						0
Evans 14 994 14.1 11.5 0.6 Fannin 48 970 49.5 2.8 11 Fayette 98 1,363 71.9 5.1 2 Floyd 165 6,045 27.3 10.7 15 Forsyth 51 2.321 22.0 9.3 4 Franklin 22 1,007 21.8 5.1 5 Filthon 876 55,035 15.9 6.6 5 Gilmer 12 1,317 9.1 8.6 6.8 6 Gilmer 12 1,317 9.1 8.6 6.8 6 Gilmer 12 1,317 9.1 8.6 6.8 6 Gilmer 14 4.79 17.0 5.8 6 Grady 42 1.857 22.6 9.5 7 Grady 42 1.857 22.6 9.5 7 Greene 20 1.187 16.8 8.0 0.6 Gilment 448 19,801 22.6 4.6 11 Habersham 21 1.420 14.8 10.0 5 Hancock 1 746 1.3 2.8 6 Haraison 43 1.607 26.8 8.5 5 Hart 22 701 31.4 11.0 6 Harris 12 7 1.254 21.5 5.9 7 Heard 22 701 31.4 11.0 6 Houston 123 6.062 20.3 3.6 22 Houston 124 17.7 6.2 6.5 5 Harris 15 788 19.0 5.3 7 Harri 27 1,254 21.5 5.9 7 Houston 123 6.062 20.3 3.6 22 Houston 123 6.062 20.3 3.6 22 Houston 124 1.77 6.2 6.5 5 Houston 125 6.5 6.5 6 Harris 17 821 20.7 3.8 6 Harris 19 589 15.3 9.3 6 Lumpkin 9 589 15.3 9.3 6 Lumpkin 71 1,470 6.8 3.4 4.7 6 Lumpkin 71 1,420 6.6 6.7 6 Lumpkin 71 1,424 13.6 6.2 6 Lumpkin 71 1,426 13.6 6.7 6 Lumpkin 71 1,426 13.6 6.7 6 Lumpkin 72 1,426 13.6 6.7		26				19
Fannin						0
Fayette 98 1.363 71.9 5.1 2.7 Floryd 166 6.045 27.3 10.7 11 Forsyth 51 2.321 22.0 9.3 4 Franklin 22 1.007 21.8 5.1 5.1 5.6 Filton 876 55.035 15.9 6.6 6.6 6 Gilmer 12 1.317 9.1 8.6 6.6 6 Gilsacock 1 116 8.6 5.8 6 6 Glynn 71 4.179 17.0 5.8 3 6						
Floyd						2
Forsyth 51 2,321 22.0 9.3 44 Franklin 22 1,007 21.8 5.1 5.1 5.6 Fulton 876 55,035 15.9 6.6 6 Glimer 12 1,317 9.1 8.6 8.6 Glisscock 11 116 8.6 5.8 5.8 6 Glynn 71 4,179 17.0 5.8 5.8 6 Grady 42 1.887 22.6 9.5 7 Greene 20 1,187 16.8 8.0 6.6 11 Habersham 21 1,420 14.8 10.0 5.8 Harli 60 6,752 8.9 10.3 6.8 14.8 14.8 15.0 15.9 14.8 15.9 14.8 15.9 15.9 15.9 15.9 15.9 15.9 15.9 15.9	,					19
Franklin 22 1.007 2.1.8 5.1 5.1 5.1 Fulton 876 55,035 15.9 6.6 5.6 5.6 5.6 5.6 5.6 5.6 5.8 6.8 6.6 5.8 6.8 6.8 6.0 6.0 6.7 5.2 8.9 6.0 6.0 5.2 8.9 6.0 6.0 5.2 8.9 6.0 6.0 5.2 8.9 6.0 6.0 5.2 8.9 6.0 6.0 5.2 8.9 6.0 6.0 5.3 6.0 6.0 5.0 5.0 6.0 5.0 5.0 6.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 6.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5	-					4
Fulton 876 55.035 15.9 6.6 9.5 Gilmer 12 1.317 9.1 8.6 5.8 Gilscock 1 1116 8.6 5.8 0.6 Gilynn 71 4.179 17.0 5.8 3.5 Gordon 105 2.325 45.2 4.5 Grady 42 1.857 22.6 9.5 7.7 Greene 20 1.187 16.8 8.0 0.0 Greene 20 1.187 16.8 8.0 0.0 Greene 21 1.420 14.8 10.0 5.8 Hall 60 6.752 8.9 10.3 5.8 Hall 60 6.752 8.9 10.3 5.8 Harason 43 1.607 26.8 8.5 5.5 Harrison 43 1.607 26.8 8.5 5.5 Hard 22 701 31.4 11.0 5.3 Heard 22 701 31.4 11.0 5.9 Houston 123 6.062 20.3 3.6 2.2 Houston 123 6.062 20.3 3.6 2.2 Jeff Davis 33 3937 35.2 4.5 Jeff Davis 33 3937 35.2 4.5 Jeff Davis 33 3937 35.2 4.5 Jeff Davis 33 343 6.8 3.4 0.0 Jeff Davis 343 6.6 3.5 5.5 Jeff Davis 343 6.6 3.5 5.5 Jeff Davis 343 343 6.8 3.4 0.0 Jeff Davis 343 343 6.8 3.4 0.0 Jeff Davis 343 6.8 3.4 0.0 Jeff Davis 343 6.8 3.4 0.0 Jeff Davis 343 343 6.8 3.4 0.0 Jeff Davis 344 345 6.8 3.4 0.0 Jeff Davis 344 3						
Gilmer 12 1,317 9.1 8.6 8 6 S.8 Gilsacock 1 1 116 8.6 5.8 5.8 Gilsacock 1 1 1170 5.8 5.8 5.8 Gilsacock 1 1 1170 5.8 5.8 5.8 Gilsacock 1 1 1170 5.8 5.8 5.8 Gilsacock 1 105 2,325 45.2 45.2 4.5 16 Gordon 105 2,325 45.2 45.2 4.5 16 6.8 5.0 Gilsacock 1 1,867 22.6 9.5 7.7 Greene 20 1,187 16.8 8.0 Gilsacock 1 1,867 16.8 8.0 Gilsacock 1 1,420 14.8 10.0 5.8 11.3 10.0 5.8 11.3 11.3 11.3 11.3 11.3 11.3 11.3 11						9
Glynn 71 4,179 17.0 5.8 3 Gordon 105 2,325 45.2 4,5 16 Grady 42 1,887 22.6 9,5 7 Greene 20 1,187 16.8 8.0 0 Gwinnett 448 19,801 22.6 4.6 11 Habersham 21 1,420 14.8 10.0 5 Hall 60 6,752 8.9 10.3 8 Harcock 1 746 1.3 2.8 6 Haralson 43 1,607 26.8 8.5 5 Harris 15 788 19.0 5.3 7 Heard 27 1254 21.5 5.9 7 Heard 22 701 31.4 11.0 9 Heard 22 701 31.4 11.0 9 Heard 22 701 31.4 11.0						
Glynn 71 4,179 17.0 5.8 3 Gordon 105 2,325 45.2 4,5 16 Grady 42 1,887 22.6 9,5 7 Greene 20 1,187 16.8 8.0 0 Gwinnett 448 19,801 22.6 4.6 11 Habersham 21 1,420 14.8 10.0 5 Hall 60 6,752 8.9 10.3 8 Harcock 1 746 1.3 2.8 6 Haralson 43 1,607 26.8 8.5 5 Harris 15 788 19.0 5.3 7 Heard 27 1254 21.5 5.9 7 Heard 22 701 31.4 11.0 9 Heard 22 701 31.4 11.0 9 Heard 22 701 31.4 11.0						0
Gordon 105 2.325 45.2 4.5 11 Grady 42 1,857 22.6 9.5 7 Greene 20 1,187 16.8 8.0 0 Gwinnett 448 19,801 22.6 4.6 11 Habersham 21 1,420 14.8 10.0 5 Hall 60 6,752 8.9 10.3 8 Harl 60 6,752 8.9 10.3 8 Harl 1 746 1.3 2.8 0 Harsison 43 1,607 26.8 8.5 5 Harris 15 788 19.0 5.3 7 Hart 27 1,254 21.5 5.9 7 Heard 22 701 31.4 11.0 9 Henry 107 4,226 25.3 4.3 9 Heury 107 4,226 25.3 4.3		·				3
Grady 42 1,857 22.6 9.5 7 Greene 20 1,187 16.8 8.0 0 Gwinnett 448 19,801 22.6 4.6 11 Habersham 21 1,420 14.8 10.0 5 Hall 60 6,752 8.9 10.3 6 Hancock 1 746 1.3 2.8 0 Harris 15 788 19.0 5.3 7 Hart 27 1,254 21.5 5.9 7 Heard 22 701 31.4 11.0 5 Henry 107 4,226 25.3 4.3 5 Houston 123 6,062 20.3 3.6 2 Irwin 27 718 37.6 11.2 11 Jackson 70 2,222 31.5 7.4 44 Jasper 8 760 10.5 10.6						3
Greene 20 1,187 16.8 8.0 C Gwinnett 448 19,801 22.6 4.6 11 Habersham 21 1,420 14.8 10.0 5 Hall 60 6,752 8.9 10.3 8 Harl 60 6,752 8.9 10.3 8 Harl 1 746 1.3 2.8 0 Harlson 43 1,607 26.8 8.5 5 Harris 15 788 19.0 5.3 7 Hart 27 7,1254 21.5 5.9 7 Heard 27 7,1254 21.5 5.9 7 Heard 27 7,144 41.0 10 9 Henry 107 4,226 25.3 4.3 9 Houston 123 6,062 20.3 3.6 12 11 Jewin 27 718 3.6						
Gwinnett 448 19,801 22.6 4.6 11 Habersham 21 1,420 14.8 10.0 5 Hall 60 6,752 8,9 10.3 8 Hancock 1 746 1.3 2.8 0 Harison 43 1,607 26.8 8.5 5 Harris 15 788 19.0 5.3 7 Hart 27 1,254 21.5 5.9 7 Heard 22 701 31.4 11.0 9 Henry 107 4,226 25.3 4.3 9 Houston 123 6,062 20.3 3.6 2 Irwin 27 718 37.6 11.2 11 Jackson 70 2,222 31.5 7.4 44 Jasper 8 760 10.5 10.6 0 Jefferson 11 1,773 6.2 6.5	•					
Habersham 21 1,420 14.8 10.0 5 Hall 60 6,752 8.9 10.3 6 Hancock 1 746 1.3 2.8 C Hard 746 1.3 2.8 C Haris 15 786 19.0 5.3 7 Hart 27 1,254 21.5 5.9 7 Hart 27 1,254 21.5 5.9 7 Heard 22 701 31.4 11.0 9 Henry 107 4,226 25.3 4.3 9 Houston 123 6,062 20.3 3.6 2 How Invition 23 6,062 20.3 3.6 2 Invition 27 718 37.6 11.2 11 Jackson 70 2,222 31.5 7.4 14 Jasper 8 760 10.5 10.6 0 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>						
Hancock 1 746 1.3 2.8 C Haralson 43 1,607 26.8 8.5 5 Harris 15 788 19.0 5.3 7 Hart 27 1,254 21.5 5.9 7 Heard 22 701 31.4 11.0 5 Henry 107 4,226 25.3 4.3 5 Houston 123 6,062 20.3 3.6 2 Irwin 27 718 37.6 11.2 11 Jackson 70 2,222 31.5 7.4 14 Jasper 8 760 10.5 10.6 0 Jeff Davis 33 937 35.2 4.5 21 Jefferson 11 1,773 6.2 6.5 9 Jenkins 2 903 2.2 17.7 0 Johnson 17 821 20.7 3.8						
Hancock 1 746 1.3 2.8 C Haralson 43 1,607 26.8 8.5 5 Harris 15 788 19.0 5.3 7 Hart 27 1,254 21.5 5.9 7 Heard 22 701 31.4 11.0 5 Henry 107 4,226 25.3 4.3 5 Houston 123 6,062 20.3 3.6 2 Irwin 27 718 37.6 11.2 11 Jackson 70 2,222 31.5 7.4 14 Jasper 8 760 10.5 10.6 0 Jeff Davis 33 937 35.2 4.5 21 Jefferson 11 1,773 6.2 6.5 9 Jenkins 2 903 2.2 17.7 0 Johnson 17 821 20.7 3.8						5
Haralson 43 1,607 26.8 8.5 5 Harris 15 788 19.0 5.3 7 Hart 27 1,254 21.5 5.9 77 Heard 22 701 31.4 11.0 9 Henry 107 4,226 25.3 4.3 9 Houston 123 6,062 20.3 3.6 2 Invin 27 718 37.6 11.2 11 Jackson 70 2,222 31.5 7.4 14 Jasper 8 760 10.5 10.6 0 Jeff Davis 33 937 35.2 4.5 22 Jefferson 11 1,773 6.2 6.5 9 Jerfferson 11 1,773 6.2 6.5 9 Jenkins 2 903 2.2 17.7 0 Johnson 17 821 20.7 3.8 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>8</td>						8
Harris 15 788 19.0 5.3 7 Hart 27 1,254 21.5 5.9 7 Heard 22 701 31.4 11.0 9 Henry 107 4,226 25.3 4.3 9 Houston 123 6,062 20.3 3.6 2 Irwin 27 718 37.6 11.2 11 Jackson 70 2,222 31.5 7.4 14 Jasper 8 760 10.5 10.6 0 Jeff Davis 33 937 35.2 4.5 21 Jeff Davis 33 937 35.2 4.5 22 Jeff Savis 33 937 35.2 4.5 22 Jeff Savis 33 937 35.2 4.5 22 Jeff Savis 29 33 2.2 17.7 0 0 Jenkins 2 903 2.2 <td></td> <td>•</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>0</td>		•				0
Hart 27 1,254 21.5 5.9 7 Heard 22 701 31.4 11.0 9 Henry 107 4,226 25.3 4.3 9 Houston 123 6,062 20.3 3.6 2 Irwin 27 718 37.6 11.2 11 Jackson 70 2,222 31.5 7.4 14 Jasper 8 760 10.5 10.6 0 Jeff Davis 33 937 35.2 4.5 21 Jefferson 11 1,773 6.2 6.5 9 Jenkins 2 903 2.2 17.7 0 Johnson 17 821 20.7 3.8 0 Jones 36 1,007 35.7 6.1 0 Lamar 27 915 29.5 6.3 0 Lamer 9 589 15.3 9.3 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>5</td></t<>						5
Heard 22 701 31.4 11.0 9 Henry 107 4,226 25.3 4.3 9 Houston 123 6,062 20.3 3.6 2 Irwin 27 718 37.6 11.2 11 Jackson 70 2,222 31.5 7.4 14 Jasper 8 760 10.5 10.6 0 Jeff Davis 33 937 35.2 4.5 21 Jefferson 11 1,773 6.2 6.5 9 Jenkins 2 903 2.2 17.7 0 Johnson 17 821 20.7 3.8 0 Jones 36 1,007 35.7 6.1 0 Lamar 27 915 29.5 6.3 0 Lamer 9 589 15.3 9.3 0 Lawrens 67 3,187 21.0 9.4						7
Henry						7
Houston 123 6,062 20.3 3.6 22 Irwin 27 718 37.6 11.2 11 Jackson 70 2,222 31.5 7.4 14 Jasper 8 760 10.5 10.6 0.6 Jeff Davis 33 937 35.2 4.5 21 Jefferson 11 1,773 6.2 6.5 9 Jenkins 2 903 2.2 17.7 0.6 Jones 36 1,007 35.7 6.1 0.6 Lamar 27 915 29.5 6.3 0.6 Lamier 9 589 15.3 9.3 0.6 Laurens 67 3,187 21.0 9.4 6.6 Liberty 71 4,786 14.8 7.6 8.6 Lincoln 3 443 6.8 3.4 0.6 Long 14 1,042 13.4 14.7 0.6 Lowndes 109 5,903 18.5 5.6 2.6 Lumpkin 71 1,254 13.6 6.2 0.6 Macion 17 1,254 13.6 6.2 0.6 Mcduffie 20 1,729 11.6 6.1 10.6 Mcintosh 9 867 10.4 12.9 0.6 Mcintosh 9 867 10.4 10.9 0.6 Mcintosh 9 867 10.4 10.9 0.6 Mcintosh 9 867 10.4 10.9 0.6 Mcintosh 10 10.2 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 Mcintosh 10 10.						9
Irwin 27 718 37.6 11.2 11 Jackson 70 2,222 31.5 7.4 14 Jasper 8 760 10.5 10.6 0 Jeff Davis 33 937 35.2 4.5 21 Jefferson 11 1,773 6.2 6.5 9 Jenkins 2 903 2.2 17.7 0 Johnson 17 821 20.7 3.8 0 Jones 36 1,007 35.7 6.1 0 Lamar 27 915 29.5 6.3 0 Lanier 9 589 15.3 9.3 0 Laurens 67 3,187 21.0 9.4 6 Lee 24 1,005 23.9 14.6 0 Liberty 71 4,786 14.8 7.6 8 Lincoln 3 443 6.8 3.4 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>9</td></t<>						9
Jackson 70 2,222 31.5 7.4 14 Jasper 8 760 10.5 10.6 0 Jeff Davis 33 937 35.2 4.5 21 Jefferson 11 1,773 6.2 6.5 9 Jenkins 2 903 2.2 17.7 0 Johnson 17 821 20.7 3.8 0 Jones 36 1,007 35.7 6.1 0 Lamar 27 915 29.5 6.3 0 Lamer 9 589 15.3 9.3 0 Laurens 67 3,187 21.0 9.4 6 Lee 24 1,005 23.9 14.6 0 Liberty 71 4,786 14.8 7.6 8 Licoln 3 443 6.8 3.4 0 Long 14 1,042 13.4 14.7	Houston	123				2
Jasper 8 760 10.5 10.6 C Jeff Davis 33 937 35.2 4.5 21 Jefferson 11 1,773 6.2 6.5 9 Jenkins 2 903 2.2 17.7 C Johnson 17 821 20.7 3.8 C Jones 36 1,007 35.7 6.1 C Lamar 27 915 29.5 6.3 C Lamer 9 589 15.3 9.3 C Laurens 67 3,187 21.0 9.4 6 Lee 24 1,005 23.9 14.6 C Liberty 71 4,786 14.8 7.6 8 Lincoln 3 443 6.8 3.4 C Long 14 1,042 13.4 14.7 C Lowndes 109 5,903 18.5 5.6 <td< td=""><td>Irwin</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>	Irwin					
Jeff Davis 33 937 35.2 4.5 21 Jefferson 11 1,773 6.2 6.5 9 Jenkins 2 903 2.2 17.7 0 Johnson 17 821 20.7 3.8 0 Jones 36 1,007 35.7 6.1 0 Lamar 27 915 29.5 6.3 0 Lanier 9 589 15.3 9.3 0 Laurens 67 3,187 21.0 9.4 6 Lee 24 1,005 23.9 14.6 6 Liberty 71 4,786 14.8 7.6 8 Lincoln 3 443 6.8 3.4 0 Long 14 1,042 13.4 14.7 0 Lowndes 109 5,903 18.5 5.6 2 Lumpkin 71 1,223 11.9 11.3	Jackson	70	2,222	31.5	7.4	14
Jefferson 11 1,773 6.2 6.5 9 Jenkins 2 903 2.2 17.7 0 Johnson 17 821 20.7 3.8 0 Jones 36 1,007 35.7 6.1 0 Lamar 27 915 29.5 6.3 0 Lanier 9 589 15.3 9.3 0 Laurens 67 3,187 21.0 9.4 6 Lee 24 1,005 23.9 14.6 0 Liberty 71 4,786 14.8 7.6 8 Lincoln 3 443 6.8 3.4 0 Long 14 1,042 13.4 14.7 0 Lowndes 109 5,903 18.5 5.6 2 Lumpkin 71 1,020 69.6 7.1 21 Macon 17 1,423 11.9 11.3 <	Jasper	8	760	10.5	10.6	
Jenkins 2 903 2.2 17.7 Common standard Jones 36 1,007 35.7 6.1 0 Lamar 27 915 29.5 6.3 0 Lanier 9 589 15.3 9.3 0 Laurens 67 3,187 21.0 9.4 6 Lee 24 1,005 23.9 14.6 0 Liberty 71 4,786 14.8 7.6 8 Lincoln 3 443 6.8 3.4 0 Long 14 1,042 13.4 14.7 0 Lowndes 109 5,903 18.5 5.6 2 Lumpkin 71 1,020 69.6 7.1 21 Macon 17 1,423 11.9 11.3 0 Madison 17 1,254 13.6 6.2 0 Macon 17 1,254 13.6 6.2 </td <td>Jeff Davis</td> <td>33</td> <td>937</td> <td>35.2</td> <td>4.5</td> <td>21</td>	Jeff Davis	33	937	35.2	4.5	21
Johnson 17 821 20.7 3.8 0 Jones 36 1,007 35.7 6.1 0 Lamar 27 915 29.5 6.3 0 Lanier 9 589 15.3 9.3 0 Laurens 67 3,187 21.0 9.4 6 Lee 24 1,005 23.9 14.6 0 Liberty 71 4,786 14.8 7.6 8 Lincoln 3 443 6.8 3.4 0 Long 14 1,042 13.4 14.7 0 Lowndes 109 5,903 18.5 5.6 2 Lumpkin 71 1,020 69.6 7.1 21 Macon 17 1,423 11.9 11.3 0 Madison 17 1,254 13.6 6.2 0 Macon 2 685 2.9 8.0 0	Jefferson	11	1,773	6.2	6.5	9
Johnson 17 821 20.7 3.8 0 Jones 36 1,007 35.7 6.1 0 Lamar 27 915 29.5 6.3 0 Lanier 9 589 15.3 9.3 0 Laurens 67 3,187 21.0 9.4 6 Lee 24 1,005 23.9 14.6 0 Liberty 71 4,786 14.8 7.6 8 Lincoln 3 443 6.8 3.4 0 Long 14 1,042 13.4 14.7 0 Lowndes 109 5,903 18.5 5.6 2 Lumpkin 71 1,020 69.6 7.1 21 Macon 17 1,423 11.9 11.3 0 Madison 17 1,254 13.6 6.2 0 Macon 2 685 2.9 8.0 0	Jenkins	2	903	2.2	17.7	0
Jones 36 1,007 35.7 6.1 Control Lamar 27 915 29.5 6.3 0 Lanier 9 589 15.3 9.3 0 Laurens 67 3,187 21.0 9.4 6 Lee 24 1,005 23.9 14.6 0 Liberty 71 4,786 14.8 7.6 8 Lincoln 3 443 6.8 3.4 0 Long 14 1,042 13.4 14.7 0 Lowndes 109 5,903 18.5 5.6 2 Lumpkin 71 1,020 69.6 7.1 21 Macon 17 1,423 11.9 11.3 0 Madison 17 1,254 13.6 6.2 0 Marion 2 685 2.9 8.0 0 Mcduffie 20 1,729 11.6 6.1						0
Lamar 27 915 29.5 6.3 C Lanier 9 589 15.3 9.3 C Laurens 67 3,187 21.0 9.4 6 Lee 24 1,005 23.9 14.6 0 Liberty 71 4,786 14.8 7.6 8 Lincoln 3 443 6.8 3.4 0 Long 14 1,042 13.4 14.7 0 Lowndes 109 5,903 18.5 5.6 2 Lumpkin 71 1,020 69.6 7.1 21 Macon 17 1,423 11.9 11.3 0 Madison 17 1,254 13.6 6.2 0 Marion 2 685 2.9 8.0 0 Mcduffie 20 1,729 11.6 6.1 10 Mcintosh 9 867 10.4 12.9						0
Lanier 9 589 15.3 9.3 C Laurens 67 3,187 21.0 9.4 6 Lee 24 1,005 23.9 14.6 0 Liberty 71 4,786 14.8 7.6 8 Lincoln 3 443 6.8 3.4 0 Long 14 1,042 13.4 14.7 0 Lowndes 109 5,903 18.5 5.6 2 Lumpkin 71 1,020 69.6 7.1 21 Macon 17 1,423 11.9 11.3 0 Madison 17 1,254 13.6 6.2 0 Marion 2 685 2.9 8.0 0 Mcduffie 20 1,729 11.6 6.1 10 Mcintosh 9 867 10.4 12.9 0 Mcriwether 57 1,712 33.3 6.7	Lamar					0
Laurens 67 3,187 21.0 9.4 66 Lee 24 1,005 23.9 14.6 6 Liberty 71 4,786 14.8 7.6 8 Lincoln 3 443 6.8 3.4 0 Long 14 1,042 13.4 14.7 0 Lowndes 109 5,903 18.5 5.6 2 Lumpkin 71 1,020 69.6 7.1 21 Macon 17 1,423 11.9 11.3 0 Madison 17 1,254 13.6 6.2 0 Marion 2 685 2.9 8.0 0 Mcduffie 20 1,729 11.6 6.1 10 Mcintosh 9 867 10.4 12.9 0 Meriwether 57 1,712 33.3 6.7 0						0
Lee 24 1,005 23.9 14.6 C Liberty 71 4,786 14.8 7.6 8 Lincoln 3 443 6.8 3.4 0 Long 14 1,042 13.4 14.7 0 Lowndes 109 5,903 18.5 5.6 2 Lumpkin 71 1,020 69.6 7.1 21 Macon 17 1,423 11.9 11.3 0 Madison 17 1,254 13.6 6.2 0 Marion 2 685 2.9 8.0 0 Mcduffie 20 1,729 11.6 6.1 10 Mcintosh 9 867 10.4 12.9 0 Meriwether 57 1,712 33.3 6.7 0						6
Liberty 71 4,786 14.8 7.6 8 Lincoln 3 443 6.8 3.4 0 Long 14 1,042 13.4 14.7 0 Lowndes 109 5,903 18.5 5.6 2 Lumpkin 71 1,020 69.6 7.1 21 Macon 17 1,423 11.9 11.3 0 Madison 17 1,254 13.6 6.2 0 Marion 2 685 2.9 8.0 0 Mcduffie 20 1,729 11.6 6.1 10 Mcintosh 9 867 10.4 12.9 0 Meriwether 57 1,712 33.3 6.7 0						
Lincoln 3 443 6.8 3.4 C Long 14 1,042 13.4 14.7 C Lowndes 109 5,903 18.5 5.6 2 Lumpkin 71 1,020 69.6 7.1 21 Macon 17 1,423 11.9 11.3 C Madison 17 1,254 13.6 6.2 C Marion 2 685 2.9 8.0 C Mcduffie 20 1,729 11.6 6.1 10 Mcintosh 9 867 10.4 12.9 C Meriwether 57 1,712 33.3 6.7 C						
Long 14 1,042 13.4 14.7 0 Lowndes 109 5,903 18.5 5.6 2 Lumpkin 71 1,020 69.6 7.1 21 Macon 17 1,423 11.9 11.3 0 Madison 17 1,254 13.6 6.2 0 Marion 2 685 2.9 8.0 0 Mcduffie 20 1,729 11.6 6.1 10 Mcintosh 9 867 10.4 12.9 0 Meriwether 57 1,712 33.3 6.7 0						0
Lowndes 109 5,903 18.5 5.6 2 Lumpkin 71 1,020 69.6 7.1 21 Macon 17 1,423 11.9 11.3 0 Madison 17 1,254 13.6 6.2 0 Marion 2 685 2.9 8.0 0 Mcduffie 20 1,729 11.6 6.1 10 Mcintosh 9 867 10.4 12.9 0 Meriwether 57 1,712 33.3 6.7 0						0
Lumpkin 71 1,020 69.6 7.1 21 Macon 17 1,423 11.9 11.3 0 Madison 17 1,254 13.6 6.2 0 Marion 2 685 2.9 8.0 0 Mcduffie 20 1,729 11.6 6.1 10 Mcintosh 9 867 10.4 12.9 0 Meriwether 57 1,712 33.3 6.7 0						2
Macon 17 1,423 11.9 11.3 0 Madison 17 1,254 13.6 6.2 0 Marion 2 685 2.9 8.0 0 Mcduffie 20 1,729 11.6 6.1 10 Mcintosh 9 867 10.4 12.9 0 Meriwether 57 1,712 33.3 6.7 0						24
Madison 17 1,254 13.6 6.2 0 Marion 2 685 2.9 8.0 0 Mcduffie 20 1,729 11.6 6.1 10 Mcintosh 9 867 10.4 12.9 0 Meriwether 57 1,712 33.3 6.7 0						
Marion 2 685 2.9 8.0 0 Mcduffie 20 1,729 11.6 6.1 10 Mcintosh 9 867 10.4 12.9 0 Meriwether 57 1,712 33.3 6.7 0						
Mcduffie 20 1,729 11.6 6.1 10 Mcintosh 9 867 10.4 12.9 0 Meriwether 57 1,712 33.3 6.7 0						0
Mcintosh 9 867 10.4 12.9 0 Meriwether 57 1,712 33.3 6.7 0						0
Meriwether 57 1,712 33.3 6.7 0						10
Meriwether 57 1,712 33.3 6.7 0 Miller 10 475 21.1 9.2 0	Mcintosh					
Miller 10 475 21.1 9.2						0
	Miller	10	475	21.1	9.2	0

Monroe 30 909 33.0 9.6 22 23 24 26 22 19 13.9 17 17 17 18 27 27 18 27 27 18 27 28 27 27 38 27 38 27 38 38 38 38 38 38 38 3	Mitchell	32	2,140	15.0	12.4	9
Montgomery 12 632 19,0 13,8 17 17 12 792 15,2 7,1 8.2 2.3 19,0 Murray 58 2,137 27,1 8.2 2.3 19,0 Murray 58 2,137 27,1 8.2 2.3 19,0 19						
Morgan 12 792 15.2 7.1 0.5 Musroy 58 2.17 27.1 8.2 2.5 Muscopee 100 14.223 7.0 4.6 3.3 Muscopee 101 14.223 7.0 4.6 3.3 Newton 42 4.017 10.5 6.6 11 Cornes 18 645 27.9 6.6 6.5 Ciplethorpe 5 649 7.7 6.0 6.6 Ciplethorpe 5 649 7.7 6.0 6.7 Peach 35 2.897 20.4 7.3 11 Peach 35 1.639 2.14 2.3 11 Plecens 5 1.711 47.8 4.5 11 Plecens 5 1.254 4.0 7.4 6.0 Polk 15 574 26.1 6.3 6.9 12 Pulsaki 10 517 19.3 10.1 Pulsaki 10 517 19.3 10.1 Pulsam 8 1.099 8.2 5.4 6.0 Cullman 9 1.099 8.2 5.4 6.0 Rabun 17 644 26.4 12.3 12 Randolph 12 7.39 16.2 1.2 Randolph 12 7.39 16.2 1.2 Recordable 51 3.266 15.7 9.6 18 Schley 3 2.78 10.8 14.7 Screven 10 1.124 14.2 5.6 6.5 Screven 10 1.124 14.2 5.6 Screven 10						
Murray		1				
Newton						2
Newton						3
Ogonee 18 645 27,9 6.6 0 Oglethorpe 6 649 7,7 6.0 0 Paulding 59 2,897 20,4 7,1 11 Peach 35 1,639 21,4 2,3 11 Pickens 56 1,171 47,8 4,5 11 Pickens 5 1,254 4,0 7,4 0 Polk 15 574 26,1 6,3 12 Pulaski 10 517 19,3 10,1 1 Pulaski 10 517 19,3 10,1 1 Pulasm 9 1,099 8,2 5,4 0 0 Quitman 0 206 . 0,0 0 0 Rabun 17 644 26,4 12,3 12 12 Randolph 12 7,39 16,2 1,2 8 8 Rockale 51 <td>•</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>10</td>	•					10
Oglethorpe 5 649 7.7 6.0 C Peach 59 2.887 20.4 7.1 11 Peach 35 1.639 21.4 2.3 11 Pickens 56 1.171 47.8 4.6 17.4 Picker 35 1.254 4.0 7.4 0 Picker 15 67.4 26.1 6.3 0 Polk 137 2.447 56.0 6.9 12 Pulaski 10 517 19.3 10.1 0 Oultman 0 206 - 0.0 0 Randolph 12 739 16.2 1.2 8 Randolph 12 739 16.2 1.2 8 Rockdale 51 3.266 15.7 9.6 18 Schley 3 2.78 10.8 14.7 4 Schley 3 2.78 10.8 14.7						10
Paulding 99 2.897 20.4 7.1 10 10 10 10 10 10 10						0
Peach 35 1,639 21,4 2.3 11 Pickons 56 1,171 47,8 4.5 11 Pierce 8 1,254 4.0 7.4 0.0 Pike 15 574 26,1 6,3 0.0 Pulaski 10 517 19,3 10,1 0.0 Pulaski 10 206 -						10
Pickens 56						
Pierce 5						
Pike		1				
Polls						
Pulaski 10 517 19.3 10.1 10.2						12
Putnam						12
Quitman 0 206 - 0.0 C Rabun 17 644 26.4 12.3 12 Randolph 12 739 16.2 1.2 8 Richmond 269 17,932 15.0 5.6 9 Rockdale 51 3.256 15.7 9.6 14 Schley 3 278 10.8 14.7 0 Screven 16 1.124 14.2 5.6 0 Seminole 13 843 15.4 7.4 0 Spalding 113 4,140 27.3 10.7 12 Stephens 41 1,336 30.7 12.8 11 Stewart 5 456 11.0 3.0 60 Sumter 16 3.694 4.3 6.0 18 Tabtot 11 481 2.29 0.0 9 Talifero 0 157 - 0.0						0
Rabun				0.2		
Randolph 12 739 16.2 1.2 8 Richmond 269 17,932 15.0 5.6 9 Rockdale 51 3,256 15.7 9.6 16 Schley 3 278 10.8 14.7 0 Screven 16 1,124 14.2 5.6 0 Seminole 13 843 15.4 7.4 0 Spalding 113 4,140 27.3 10.7 12.8 Steynens 41 1,336 30.7 12.8 10 Stewart 5 456 11.0 3.0 66 Sumter 16 3,694 4.3 6.0 19 Talbot 11 481 22.9 0.0 0 15 Taliferro 0 157 - 0.0 0 17 Taltall 9 1,710 5.3 7.6 0 17 Taltari 15 </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>26 A</td> <td></td> <td></td>				26 A		
Richmond 269 17,932 15.0 5.6 9 Rockdale 51 3,256 15.7 9.6 16 Schiey 3 278 10.8 14.7 0 Screven 16 1,124 14.2 5.6 0 Seminole 13 843 15.4 7.4 0 Spalding 113 4,140 27.3 10.7 12 Stephens 41 1,336 30.7 12.8 10 Stewart 5 456 110 3.0 66 Sumter 16 3,694 4.3 6.0 19 Talbot 111 481 22.9 0.0 9 Taliatero 0 157 - 0.0 0 Taylor 4 810 4.9 5.1 0 Taylor 4 810 4.9 5.1 0 Taylor 4 810 4.9 5.1						IZ Ω
Rockdale 51 3.256 15.7 9.6 16 Schley 3 278 10.8 14.7 0 Scerven 16 1.124 14.2 5.6 0 Seminole 13 843 15.4 7.4 0 Spalding 113 4,140 27.3 10.7 12 Stephens 41 1,336 30.7 12.8 10 Stewart 5 456 11.0 3.0 66 Stewart 16 3.694 4.3 6.0 19 Talbot 11 481 22.9 0.0 9 Taliaferro 0 157 - 0.0 0 Tattnall 9 1,710 5.3 7.6 0 Taylor 4 810 4.9 5.1 0 Taylor 4 810 4.9 5.1 0 Taylor 4 810 4.9 5.1						9
Schley 3 278 10.8 14.7 C Screven 16 1,124 14.2 5.6 C Seminole 13 843 15.4 7.4 C Spalding 113 4,140 27.3 10.7 12 Stephens 41 1,336 30.7 12.8 10.0 Stewart 5 456 11.0 3.0 60 Sumter 16 3,694 4.3 6.0 19 Talbot 111 481 22.9 0.0 9 Talloferro 0 157 - 0.0 9 Tallorerro 0 1,70 5.3 7.6						16
Screwen 16 1.124 14.2 5.6 C Seminole 13 843 15.4 7.4 0 Spalding 113 4,140 27.3 10.7 12 Stephens 41 1,336 30.7 12.8 16 Stewart 5 456 11.0 3.0 60 Sumter 16 3,694 4.3 6.0 16 Talbot 11 481 22.9 0.0 9 Tallaferro 0 157 - 0.0 0 Tathall 9 1,710 5.3 7.6 0 Taylor 4 810 4.9 5.1 0 Terrell 16 1.092 14.7 4.0		1				10
Seminole 13 843 15.4 7.4 C Spalding 113 4,140 27.3 10.7 12 Stephens 41 1,336 30.7 12.8 10 Stewart 5 456 11.0 3.0 60 Sumter 16 3,694 4.3 6.0 15 Talbot 111 481 22.9 0.0 9 Taltot 11 481 22.9 0.0 9 Taltor 0 157 - 0.0 9 Taltor 10 157 - 0.0 0 Taylor 4 810 4.9 5.1 0 Teyrol 4 810 4.9 5.1 0 Terrell 16 1,092 14.7 4.0 0 Terrell 16 1,092 14.7 4.0 0 Tift 84 3,515 23.9 8.0 22<						0
Spalding 113 4,140 27.3 10.7 12 Stephens 41 1,336 30.7 12.8 10 Stewart 5 456 11.0 3.0 60 Sunter 16 3,694 4.3 6.0 13 Talbot 11 481 22.9 0.0 9 Taliaferro 0 157 - 0.0 0 Tatinall 9 1,710 5.3 7.6 0 Taylor 4 810 4.9 5.1 0 Taylor 4 810 4.9 5.1 0 Tertall 15 814 18.4 6.7 22 Terrell 16 1,092 14.7 4.0 0 Terrell 16 1,092 14.7 4.0 0 Terrell 16 1,092 14.7 4.0 0 Titl 8.4 3.515 23.9 8.0						0
Stephens 41 1,336 30.7 12.8 11 Stewart 5 456 11.0 3.0 60 Sumter 16 3,694 4.3 6.0 19 Talbot 11 481 22.9 0.0 0 Taliaferro 0 157 - 0.0 0 Tattnall 9 1,710 5.3 7.6 0 Taylor 4 810 4.9 5.1 0 Teglair 15 814 18.4 6.7 20 Terrell 16 1,092 14.7 4.0 0 Thomas 14 2,864 4.9 6.8 0 Tift 84 3,515 23.9 8.0 22 Toombs 65 2,767 23.5 9.4 15 Towns 21 344 61.0 3.6 14 Treuten 2 621 3.2 2.6 <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>						
Stewart 5 456 11.0 3.0 60 Sumter 16 3,694 4.3 6.0 19 Tallot 11 481 22.9 0.0 9 Tallaferro 0 157 - 0.0 0 Tathall 9 1,710 5.3 7.6 0 Taylor 4 810 4.9 5.1 0 Telfair 15 814 18.4 6.7 20 Terrell 16 1,092 14.7 4.0 0 Tomas 14 2,864 4.9 6.8 0 Tift 84 3,515 23.9 8.0 21 Tombas 65 2,767 23.5 9.4 15 Tombs 65 2,767 23.5 9.4 15 Towns 21 344 61.0 3.6 14 Treutlen 2 621 3.2 2.6 0 <td></td> <td>1</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>		1				
Sumter 16 3,694 4.3 6.0 19 Talbot 11 481 22.9 0.0 9 Taliaferro 0 157 - 0.0 0 Tattnall 9 1,710 5.3 7.6 0 Taylor 4 810 4.9 5.1 0 Telfair 15 814 18.4 6.7 20 Terrell 16 1,092 14.7 4.0 0 0 Thomas 14 2,864 4.9 6.8 0 21 Tomas 65 2,767 23.5 9.4 15 0 21 Tombas 65 2,767 23.5 9.4 15 0 21 344 61.0 3.6 14 15 0 21 344 61.0 3.6 14 3.6 14 3.7 3.2 2.6 0 0 0 0 2.6 0 0 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>						
Talbot 11 481 22.9 0.0 9 Taliaferro 0 157 - 0.0 0 Tattnall 9 1,710 5.3 7.6 0 Taylor 4 810 4.9 5.1 0 Telfair 15 814 18.4 6.7 20 Terrell 16 1,092 14.7 4.0 0 0 Thomas 14 2,864 4.9 6.8 0 0 Tift 84 3,515 23.9 8.0 21 3.0 14 1.0 3.6 14 1.0 3.6 14 1.0 3.6 14 1.0 3.6 14 1.0 3.6 14 1.0 3.6 14 1.0 3.6 14 1.0 3.6 14 1.0 3.6 14 1.0 3.6 14 1.0 3.6 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0						
Taliaferro 0 157 - 0.0 C Tathall 9 1,710 5.3 7.6 C Taylor 4 810 4.9 5.1 C Terfair 15 814 18.4 6.7 20 Terrell 16 1,092 14.7 4.0 C Thomas 14 2,864 4.9 6.8 C Tift 84 3,515 23.9 8.0 21 Toombs 65 2,767 23.5 9.4 15 Towns 21 344 61.0 3.6 14 Troup 62 3,766 16.5 4.3 5 Turner 6 1,081 5.6 9.2 0 Twiggs 5 713 7.0 12.1 0 Upson 68 1,768 38.5 12.2 10 Walker 72 2,990 24.1 7.4 6 </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>						
Tatnall 9 1,710 5.3 7.6 C Taylor 4 810 4.9 5.1 0 Telfair 15 814 18.4 6.7 20 Terrell 16 1,092 14.7 4.0 0 Thomas 14 2,864 4.9 6.8 0 Tift 84 3,515 23.9 8.0 21 Toombs 65 2,767 23.5 9.4 11 Towns 21 344 61.0 3.6 14 Treutlen 2 621 3.2 2.6 0 Toup 62 3,766 16.5 4.3 5 Turner 6 1,081 5.6 9.2 0 Twiggs 5 713 7.0 12.1 0 Upson 68 1,768 38.5 12.2 10 Walker 72 2,990 24.1 7.4 6 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>1</td> <td>0</td>					1	0
Taylor 4 810 4.9 5.1 C Telfair 15 814 18.4 6.7 20 Terrell 16 1,092 14.7 4.0 0 Thomas 14 2,864 4.9 6.8 0 Tift 84 3,515 23.9 8.0 21 Toombs 65 2,767 23.5 9.4 15 Towns 21 344 61.0 3.6 14 Treutlen 2 621 3.2 2.6 0 Troup 62 3,766 16.5 4.3 5 Turner 6 1,081 5.6 9.2 0 Twigs 5 713 7.0 12.1 0 Upson 68 1,768 38.5 12.2 10 Walker 72 2,990 24.1 7.4 6 Walker 72 2,990 24.1 7.4 6 </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>5.3</td> <td></td> <td>0</td>				5.3		0
Telfair 15 814 18.4 6.7 20 Terrell 16 1,092 14.7 4.0 0 Thomas 14 2,864 4.9 6.8 0 Tift 84 3,515 23.9 8.0 21 Toombs 65 2,767 23.5 9.4 15 Towns 21 344 61.0 3.6 44 Treutlen 2 621 3.2 2.6 0 Troup 62 3,766 16.5 4.3 5 Turner 6 1,081 5.6 9.2 0 Twiggs 5 713 7.0 12.1 0 Union 20 778 25.7 5.4 5 Upson 68 1,768 38.5 12.2 10 Walker 72 2,990 24.1 7.4 66 Walton 64 3,175 20.2 7.2 0 Ware 29 2,587 11.2 10.0 7 Warren 4 505 7.9 3.8 0 Washington 10 1,513 6.6 7.1 0 Wayne 19 1,943 9.8 5.0 0 Webster 0 155 - 5.9 0 Wheeler 5 445 11.2 6.8 0 White 24 1,074 22.3 6.2 25 Wilkox 7 687 10.2 18.7 0 Wilkinson 20 733 27.3 3.2 10						0
Terrell 16 1,092 14.7 4.0 C Thomas 14 2,864 4.9 6.8 C Tift 84 3,515 23.9 8.0 21 Toombs 65 2,767 23.5 9.4 15 Towns 21 344 61.0 3.6 14 Treutlen 2 621 3.2 2.6 0 Troup 62 3,766 16.5 4.3 5 Tumer 6 1,081 5.6 9.2 0 Twiggs 5 713 7.0 12.1 0 Union 20 778 25.7 5.4 5 Upson 68 1,768 38.5 12.2 10 Walker 72 2,990 24.1 7.4 6 Walton 64 3,175 20.2 7.2 0 Waree 29 2,587 11.2 10.0 7<						
Thomas 14 2,864 4.9 6.8 0 Tift 84 3,515 23.9 8.0 21 Toombs 65 2,767 23.5 9.4 15 Towns 21 344 61.0 3.6 14 Treutlen 2 621 3.2 2.6 0 Troup 62 3,766 16.5 4.3 5 Turner 6 1,081 5.6 9.2 0 Twiggs 5 713 7.0 12.1 0 Union 20 778 25.7 5.4 5 Upson 68 1,768 38.5 12.2 10 Walker 72 2,990 24.1 7.4 6 Walker 72 2,990 24.1 7.4 6 Ware 29 2,587 11.2 10.0 7 Waren 4 505 7.9 3.8 0						
Tift 84 3,515 23.9 8.0 21 Toombs 65 2,767 23.5 9.4 15 Towns 21 344 61.0 3.6 14 Treutlen 2 621 3.2 2.6 0 Troup 62 3,766 16.5 4.3 5 Turner 6 1,081 5.6 9.2 0 Twiggs 5 713 7.0 12.1 0 Union 20 778 25.7 5.4 5 Upson 68 1,768 38.5 12.2 10 Walker 72 2,990 24.1 7.4 6 Walker 72 2,990 24.1 7.4 6 Ware 29 2,587 11.2 10.0 7 Waren 4 505 7.9 3.8 0 Washington 10 1,513 6.6 7.1 0 <td></td> <td>1</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>0</td>		1				0
Toombs 65 2,767 23.5 9.4 15 Towns 21 344 61.0 3.6 14 Treutlen 2 621 3.2 2.6 0 Troup 62 3,766 16.5 4.3 5 Turner 6 1,081 5.6 9.2 0 Twiggs 5 713 7.0 12.1 0 Union 20 778 25.7 5.4 5 Upson 68 1,768 38.5 12.2 10 Walker 72 2,990 24.1 7.4 6 Walton 64 3,175 20.2 7.2 0 Ware 29 2,587 11.2 10.0 7 Warren 4 505 7.9 3.8 0 Washington 10 1,513 6.6 7.1 0 Webster 0 155 - 5.9 0						
Towns 21 344 61.0 3.6 14 Treutlen 2 621 3.2 2.6 0 Troup 62 3,766 16.5 4.3 5 Turner 6 1,081 5.6 9.2 0 Twiggs 5 713 7.0 12.1 0 Union 20 778 25.7 5.4 5 Upson 68 1,768 38.5 12.2 10 Walker 72 2,990 24.1 7.4 6 Walton 64 3,175 20.2 7.2 0 Ware 29 2,587 11.2 10.0 7 Warren 4 505 7.9 3.8 0 Washington 10 1,513 6.6 7.1 0 Wayne 19 1,943 9.8 5.0 0 Webster 0 155 - 5.9 0						15
Treutlen 2 621 3.2 2.6 0 Troup 62 3,766 16.5 4.3 5 Turner 6 1,081 5.6 9.2 0 Twiggs 5 713 7.0 12.1 0 Union 20 778 25.7 5.4 5 Upson 68 1,768 38.5 12.2 10 Walker 72 2,990 24.1 7.4 6 Walton 64 3,175 20.2 7.2 0 Ware 29 2,587 11.2 10.0 7 Warren 4 505 7.9 3.8 0 Wayne 19 1,943 9.8 5.0 0 Webster 0 155 - 5.9 0 Whiteler 5 445 11.2 6.8 0 Whiteler 24 1,074 22.3 6.2 29						
Troup 62 3,766 16.5 4.3 5 Turner 6 1,081 5.6 9.2 0 Twiggs 5 713 7.0 12.1 0 Union 20 778 25.7 5.4 5 Upson 68 1,768 38.5 12.2 10 Walker 72 2,990 24.1 7.4 6 Walton 64 3,175 20.2 7.2 0 Ware 29 2,587 11.2 10.0 7 Warren 4 505 7.9 3.8 0 Washington 10 1,513 6.6 7.1 0 Wayne 19 1,943 9.8 5.0 0 Webster 0 155 - 5.9 0 Whiteler 5 445 11.2 6.8 0 Whitfield 132 4,227 31.2 10.2 18						0
Turner 6 1,081 5.6 9.2 0 Twiggs 5 713 7.0 12.1 0 Union 20 778 25.7 5.4 5 Upson 68 1,768 38.5 12.2 10 Walker 72 2,990 24.1 7.4 6 Walton 64 3,175 20.2 7.2 0 Ware 29 2,587 11.2 10.0 7 Waren 4 505 7.9 3.8 0 Wayne 19 1,513 6.6 7.1 0 Wayne 19 1,943 9.8 5.0 0 Webster 0 155 - 5.9 0 Wheeler 5 445 11.2 6.8 0 Whitfield 132 4,227 31.2 10.2 5 Wilkes 1 640 1.6 12.3 0						5
Twiggs 5 713 7.0 12.1 0 Union 20 778 25.7 5.4 5 Upson 68 1,768 38.5 12.2 10 Walker 72 2,990 24.1 7.4 6 Ware 29 2,587 11.2 10.0 7 Warren 4 505 7.9 3.8 0 Washington 10 1,513 6.6 7.1 0 Wayne 19 1,943 9.8 5.0 0 Webster 0 155 - 5.9 0 White 24 1,074 22.3 6.2 28 Whitfield 132 4,227 31.2 10.2 5 Wilcox 7 687 10.2 18.7 0 Wilkes 1 640 1.6 12.3 0 Wilkinson 20 733 27.3 3.2 10	_					0
Union 20 778 25.7 5.4 5.5 Upson 68 1,768 38.5 12.2 10 Walker 72 2,990 24.1 7.4 66 Walton 64 3,175 20.2 7.2 0 Ware 29 2,587 11.2 10.0 7 Warren 4 505 7.9 3.8 0 Washington 10 1,513 6.6 7.1 0 Wayne 19 1,943 9.8 5.0 0 Webster 0 155 - 5.9 0 Wheeler 5 445 11.2 6.8 0 White 24 1,074 22.3 6.2 29 Wilcox 7 687 10.2 18.7 0 Wilkes 1 640 1.6 12.3 0 Wilkinson 20 733 27.3 3.2 10<						0
Upson 68 1,768 38.5 12.2 10 Walker 72 2,990 24.1 7.4 66 Walton 64 3,175 20.2 7.2 0 Ware 29 2,587 11.2 10.0 7 Warren 4 505 7.9 3.8 0 Washington 10 1,513 6.6 7.1 0 Wayne 19 1,943 9.8 5.0 0 Webster 0 155 - 5.9 0 Wheeler 5 445 11.2 6.8 0 White 24 1,074 22.3 6.2 29 Whitfield 132 4,227 31.2 10.2 5 Wilkes 1 640 1.6 12.3 0 Wilkinson 20 733 27.3 3.2 10 Worth 15 1,595 9.4 12.3						5
Walker 72 2,990 24.1 7.4 66 Walton 64 3,175 20.2 7.2 0 Ware 29 2,587 11.2 10.0 7 Warren 4 505 7.9 3.8 0 Washington 10 1,513 6.6 7.1 0 Wayne 19 1,943 9.8 5.0 0 Webster 0 155 - 5.9 0 Wheeler 5 445 11.2 6.8 0 White 24 1,074 22.3 6.2 29 Whitfield 132 4,227 31.2 10.2 5 Wilkes 1 640 1.6 12.3 0 Wilkinson 20 733 27.3 3.2 10 Worth 15 1,595 9.4 12.3 13						10
Walton 64 3,175 20.2 7.2 0 Ware 29 2,587 11.2 10.0 7 Warren 4 505 7.9 3.8 0 Washington 10 1,513 6.6 7.1 0 Wayne 19 1,943 9.8 5.0 0 Webster 0 155 - 5.9 0 Wheeler 5 445 11.2 6.8 0 White 24 1,074 22.3 6.2 29 Whitfield 132 4,227 31.2 10.2 5 Wilcox 7 687 10.2 18.7 0 Wilkes 1 640 1.6 12.3 0 Wilkinson 20 733 27.3 3.2 10 Worth 15 1,595 9.4 12.3 13						6
Ware 29 2,587 11.2 10.0 7 Warren 4 505 7.9 3.8 0 Washington 10 1,513 6.6 7.1 0 Wayne 19 1,943 9.8 5.0 0 Webster 0 155 - 5.9 0 Wheeler 5 445 11.2 6.8 0 White 24 1,074 22.3 6.2 29 Whitfield 132 4,227 31.2 10.2 5 Wilcox 7 687 10.2 18.7 0 Wilkes 1 640 1.6 12.3 0 Wilkinson 20 733 27.3 3.2 10 Worth 15 1,595 9.4 12.3 13						0
Warren 4 505 7.9 3.8 0 Washington 10 1,513 6.6 7.1 0 Wayne 19 1,943 9.8 5.0 0 Webster 0 155 - 5.9 0 Wheeler 5 445 11.2 6.8 0 White 24 1,074 22.3 6.2 29 Whitfield 132 4,227 31.2 10.2 5 Wilcox 7 687 10.2 18.7 0 Wilkes 1 640 1.6 12.3 0 Wilkinson 20 733 27.3 3.2 10 Worth 15 1,595 9.4 12.3 13						7
Washington 10 1,513 6.6 7.1 0 Wayne 19 1,943 9.8 5.0 0 Webster 0 155 - 5.9 0 Wheeler 5 445 11.2 6.8 0 White 24 1,074 22.3 6.2 29 Whitfield 132 4,227 31.2 10.2 5 Wilcox 7 687 10.2 18.7 0 Wilkes 1 640 1.6 12.3 0 Wilkinson 20 733 27.3 3.2 10 Worth 15 1,595 9.4 12.3 13						0
Wayne 19 1,943 9.8 5.0 0 Webster 0 155 - 5.9 0 Wheeler 5 445 11.2 6.8 0 White 24 1,074 22.3 6.2 29 Whitfield 132 4,227 31.2 10.2 5 Wilcox 7 687 10.2 18.7 0 Wilkes 1 640 1.6 12.3 0 Wilkinson 20 733 27.3 3.2 10 Worth 15 1,595 9.4 12.3 13	Washington					0
Webster 0 155 - 5.9 0 Wheeler 5 445 11.2 6.8 0 White 24 1,074 22.3 6.2 29 Whitfield 132 4,227 31.2 10.2 5 Wilcox 7 687 10.2 18.7 0 Wilkes 1 640 1.6 12.3 0 Wilkinson 20 733 27.3 3.2 10 Worth 15 1,595 9.4 12.3 13						0
Wheeler 5 445 11.2 6.8 0 White 24 1,074 22.3 6.2 29 Whitfield 132 4,227 31.2 10.2 5 Wilcox 7 687 10.2 18.7 0 Wilkes 1 640 1.6 12.3 0 Wilkinson 20 733 27.3 3.2 10 Worth 15 1,595 9.4 12.3 13		1		-		0
White 24 1,074 22.3 6.2 29 Whitfield 132 4,227 31.2 10.2 5 Wilcox 7 687 10.2 18.7 0 Wilkes 1 640 1.6 12.3 0 Wilkinson 20 733 27.3 3.2 10 Worth 15 1,595 9.4 12.3 13				11.2		0
Whitfield 132 4,227 31.2 10.2 5 Wilcox 7 687 10.2 18.7 0 Wilkes 1 640 1.6 12.3 0 Wilkinson 20 733 27.3 3.2 10 Worth 15 1,595 9.4 12.3 13						29
Wilcox 7 687 10.2 18.7 0 Wilkes 1 640 1.6 12.3 0 Wilkinson 20 733 27.3 3.2 10 Worth 15 1,595 9.4 12.3 13						5
Wilkes 1 640 1.6 12.3 0 Wilkinson 20 733 27.3 3.2 10 Worth 15 1,595 9.4 12.3 13						0
Wilkinson 20 733 27.3 3.2 10 Worth 15 1,595 9.4 12.3 13						0
Worth 15 1,595 9.4 12.3 13		20				10
Statewide 8916 470,425 19.0						13
						9